

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION N	Ю. І	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,381		12/19/2001	Robert A. Cordery	F-442	7716
919	7590	02/18/2004	·	EXAMINER	
PITNEY	BOWES I	NC.	WOO, RICHARD SUKYOON		
35 WATE P.O. BOX	ERVIEW DE	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-2			3629		
SHELTO	N, CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/683,381	CORDERY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
~	Richard Woo	3629	MW
Tម៉ូe MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repict places the application of the contract	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate exite. The appropriate exite final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		MIL	2
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	_	dhn G. Weiss Pry Patent Examini	ER
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .		LOGY CENTER 3600	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

D





Continuation of 10. Other: W.R.T. the proposed amendment to claim 1, the proposed amendment would not make the claim 1 distinguish over the prior arts because Claim 9, which already includes the quarantine indication limitation, was rejected previously. Youn discloses the invention including the scan detection system for providing sender and recipient information for quarantined mail piece (see para. [0123]-[0143] for example) and the combination of Youn and Alden would provide the server connected to the pluraltiy of detectors for receiving the mail piece quarantine indication and communicating the quanrantine nitification to at least one of the sender and the recipient.